Entering the leinamycin rearrangement \textit{via} 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl sulfoxides
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Attack of cellular thiols on the antitumor natural product leinamycin is believed to generate a sulfenate intermediate that undergoes subsequent rearrangement to a DNA-alkylating episulfonium ion. Here, 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl sulfoxides were employed in a fluoride-triggered generation of sulfenate anions related to the putative leinamycin-sulfenate. The resulting sulfenates enter smoothly into a leinamycin-type rearrangement reaction to afford an episulfonium ion alkylating agent. The results provide evidence that the sulfenate ion is, indeed, a competent intermediate in the leinamycin rearrangement. Further, the molecules examined here may provide a foundation for the design of functional leinamycin analogues that bypass the unstable and synthetically challenging 1,2-dithiolan-3-one 1-oxide moiety found in the natural product.

Introduction

Historically, natural products have represented a rich source of structurally novel organic molecules that generate DNA-damaging reactive intermediates \textit{via} interesting and unexpected chemical reactions.\textsuperscript{1,2} The characterization of new chemical reactions by which small molecules can modify cellular DNA is relevant to diverse fields including medicinal chemistry, toxicology, and biotechnology.

Leinamycin (1) provides an interesting example of a structurally unique natural product that damages DNA \textit{via} novel chemical mechanisms.\textsuperscript{3-6} Initial attack of cellular thiols on leinamycin's 1,2-dithiolan-3-one 1-oxide “triggering unit” is believed to yield a key sulfenate intermediate (2) that undergoes intramolecular cyclization with the neighboring carbonyl group.\textsuperscript{7,8} The persulfide (3, R S S\textsuperscript{−}) ejected in this reaction causes oxidative stress,\textsuperscript{9-13} while the resulting 1-oxa-2-thiolan-5-one derivative of leinamycin (4) undergoes further rearrangement to yield an episulfonium ion (5) that alkylates guanine residues in duplex DNA (Scheme 1).\textsuperscript{8-14}

The sulfenate ion (2) is proposed\textsuperscript{7,8} to be a key intermediate in the thiol-triggered conversion of leinamycin to a DNA-alkylating episulfonium ion, however, to date, there is no experimental support for the existence of this entity. In an effort to fill this gap in our knowledge, we set out to generate discrete sulfenate ions related to 2 and determine whether these intermediates are, in fact, competent to enter the leinamycin rearrangement reaction manifold. For this task, we employed small synthetic molecules containing just the “core” functional groups involved in the leinamycin rearrangement. This approach builds upon our recent finding\textsuperscript{15} that stripped-down leinamycin analogues such as 6 smoothly undergo a thiol-triggered, leinamycin-type rearrangement to generate the episulfonium alkylating agent 9 (Scheme 2).

Sulfenate ions (RSO\textsuperscript{−}) and sulfenic acids (RSOH) are typically not stable, isolable species,\textsuperscript{16-18} however, methods exist for their \textit{in situ} generation.\textsuperscript{16,19-28} In the present study, we employed the 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl sulfoxide group as a sulfenate precursor.
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Scheme 1 DNA alkylation by leinamycin (1).

Scheme 2 A small model compound that mimics leinamycin (ref. 15).
2-(Trimethylsilyl)ethyl sulfoxides can undergo both fluoride-triggered and spontaneous elimination of sulfenate species. For ease of synthesis, we targeted sulfenates containing a neighboring phenyl thioester group in place of the acyl persulfide moiety found in the putative intermediates 2 and 7 (Schemes 1 and 2). Importantly, the leaving group ability of the PhS group is similar to that expected for RSS\(^-\), as judged by the pK\(_a\) values of the conjugate acids.\(^{38,31}\)

**Results and discussion**

The sulfenate precursors were prepared as shown in Scheme 3. The known carboxylic acid 11 was activated with DCC–DMAP and converted to the thioester 12a by reaction with thiophenol. In addition, we prepared ester derivatives 12b and 12c by analogous reactions. The methyl ester 12d was synthesized by treatment of 11 with diazomethane. The desired sulfenate precursors 13 were then obtained via oxidation of the sulfide group in 12 with dimethyl dioxirane (DMD).\(^{12}\)

![Scheme 3](image)

**Scheme 3** Preparation of sulfenate precursors 13. *Reagents and conditions:* a. DCC, DMAP, PhSH or p-NO\(_2\)PhO, or PhOH (for 12a–c); b. CH\(_3\)N\(_2\); (for 12d); c. DMD, acetone.

Treatment of the thioester 13a with tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) in THF rapidly (3 h) affords the rearrangement product 15a (65%, Scheme 4). This product is envisioned to arise from reaction of the episulfonium ion 9 with excess fluoride ion. When the TBAF-triggered reaction is carried out in a 4:1 mixture of THF and methanol, the product (15b) resulting from trapping of the episulfonium ion 9 with methanol is obtained in 22% yield alongside 15a (45%). The acids were characterized as the methyl ester derivatives obtained following treatment of the products with diazomethane.

Consistent with the expectation that this process proceeds *via* the desired sulfenate ion 14a, when the reaction is conducted in the presence of excess methyl iodide, the characteristic sulfenate trapping product 16a is obtained in 35% yield along with 15a (25%, Scheme 5). In the context of this reaction, it is useful to note that sulfenate ions are ambident nucleophiles that can react at either sulfur or oxygen.\(^{33}\) In the leinamycin rearrangement, the oxygen atom of the sulfenate is the nucleophile, whereas the sulfur atom serves as a nucleophile in typical reactions of this functional group with methyl iodide and other alkyl halides.\(^{36,33,34}\)
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**Scheme 5** Trapping the sulfenate intermediate.

The ester derivatives (13b–d) also undergo fluoride-triggered rearrangement in THF to provide 15a in yields comparable to those obtained from 13a. Evidently, a good leaving group (e.g. PhS\(^-\) or p-NO\(_2\)PhO\(^-\)) on the carbonyl is not required for the rearrangement to proceed. The cyclization of 14 to 8 may be favored by the potent nucleophilicity of the sulfenate anion.\(^{35}\)

Extended incubation of 13a for 20 h in THF–MeOH in the absence of TBAF does not afford any rearranged product 15b, yielding instead only the product 13d resulting from methanalysis of the thioester group in the starting material. However, in a different solvent mixture consisting of 1:1 CH\(_3\)CN and sodium phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7), compounds 13a and 13b undergo a slow (48 h), fluoride-independent conversion to the episulfonium-derived product 10, albeit in somewhat lower yields (30%) than those obtained in the fluoride-triggered process.\(^{36}\)

Initially, we suspected that this fluoride-independent reaction might proceed *via* the same sulfenate intermediate (14, Scheme 4) generated in the fluoride-triggered reactions, because it is known that 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl sulfoxides can undergo fluoride-independent release of sulfenate species.\(^{39}\) However, the intermediacy of a free sulfenate anion or sulfenic acid in these reactions was called into question by our inability to trap this intermediate with methyl iodide under our standard trapping conditions used previously.\(^{34}\) Further evidence arguing against a straightforward elimination of sulfenate from 13a and 13b in this fluoride-independent process was provided by the observation that the reaction occurs only with these activated esters. The less reactive esters 13c and 13d return starting material under these reaction conditions. Thus, the 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl sulfoxide group is inherently stable in the context of 13a and 13d; however, interaction of this functional group with the adjacent activated ester groups in 13a and 13b stimulates rearrangement to 10. This transformation may proceed *via* initial attack of the sulfoxide oxygen on the adjacent activated carbonyl group to yield 17, followed by loss of the 2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyl group to generate the oxathiolanone intermediate 8 that, in turn, yields the episulfonium ion 9 (Scheme 6).\(^{37}\)
To a solution of 11 (200 mg, 0.62 mmol) in dry, distilled THF (2 mL) under nitrogen, dicyclohexyl carbodiimide (153 mg, 0.74 mmol) and a catalytic amount of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (7.6 mg, 0.06 mmol) were added. After about 30 min of stirring, p-nitrophenol (103 mg, 0.74 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added and stirring was continued for 48 h. The dicyclohexylurea precipitate was removed by filtration and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a pale yellow oil. Flash column chromatography on silica gel eluted with 19 : 1 hexane : ethylacetate gave 12 as a colorless oil (247 mg, 90% yield, \( R_f = 0.55 \) in 10 : 1 hexane : ethylacetate). \(^{1}H\)-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 7.0–7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.85 (m, 2H), 1.77 (s, 6H), 0.87 (m, 2H), 0.0 (s, 9H) ppm. \(^{13}C\)-NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 193.05, 147.58, 145.64, 134.47, 133.12, 131.49, 130.80, 129.43, 129.24, 128.39, 125.02, 122.78, 122.78, 33.9, 32.7, 25.77, 18.03, 17.56, –1.80 ppm. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C\(_{28}\)H\(_{34}\)O\(_3\)SiNa [M + Na]\(^+\) 466.1478, found 466.1490.

3-(3-Methylbut-2-enyl)-2-[2-(trimethylsilanyl)-ethylsulfanyl]benzoic acid p-nitrophenyl ester 12b

To a stirred solution of 11 (200 mg, 0.62 mmol) in dry, distilled THF (2 mL) under nitrogen, dicyclohexyl carbodiimide (153 mg, 0.74 mmol) and a catalytic amount of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (7.6 mg, 0.06 mmol) were added. After about 30 min of stirring, p-nitrophenol (103 mg, 0.74 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was added and stirring was continued for 48 h. The dicyclohexylurea precipitate was removed by filtration and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a pale yellow oil. Flash column chromatography on silica gel eluted with 19 : 1 hexane : ethylacetate gave 12b as a pale yellow oil (247 mg, 90% yield, \( R_f = 0.55 \) in 10 : 1 hexane : ethylacetate). \(^{1}H\)-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 7.0–7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.85 (m, 2H), 1.77 (s, 6H), 0.87 (m, 2H), 0.0 (s, 9H) ppm. \(^{13}C\)-NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 193.05, 147.58, 145.64, 134.47, 133.12, 131.49, 130.80, 129.43, 129.24, 128.39, 125.02, 122.78, 122.78, 33.9, 32.7, 25.77, 18.03, 17.56, –1.91 ppm. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C\(_{28}\)H\(_{34}\)O\(_3\)SiNa [M + Na]\(^+\) 466.1478, found 466.1490.

3-(3-Methylbut-2-enyl)-2-[2-(trimethylsilanyl)-ethylsulfanyl]benzoic acid methyl ester 12d

To a stirred solution of 11 (50 mg, 0.15 mmol) in ether (1 mL) freshly prepared diazomethane (1 mL of a 0.66 M solution in ether, warning: EXPLOSION HAZARD) was added. When the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel eluted with 19 : 1 hexane : ethylacetate to yield 12d as a colorless oil (211 mg, 82%, \( R_f = 0.5 \) in 10 : 1 hexane : ethylacetate). \(^{1}H\)-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 7.60–7.28 (m, 8H, aromatic), 5.28 (m, 1H), 3.72 (d, \( J = 7.1 \) Hz, 2H), 2.85 (m, 2H), 1.77 (s, 6H), 0.87 (m, 2H), 0.0 (s, 9H) ppm. \(^{13}C\)-NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 193.05, 147.58, 145.64, 134.47, 133.12, 131.49, 130.80, 129.43, 129.24, 128.39, 125.02, 122.78, 122.78, 33.9, 32.7, 25.77, 18.03, 17.56, –1.80 ppm. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C\(_{28}\)H\(_{34}\)O\(_3\)SiNa [M + Na]\(^+\) 437.1399, found 437.1419.
reaction was complete as judged by thin layer chromatography the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give 12d as a colorless oil (44 mg, 85%), \( R_t = 0.65 \) in 5 : 1 hexane : ethylacetate) as a pure compound. 1H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta 7.32, 5.27, 3.27, 2.95, 1.78, 1.25, 1.08, 0.0 \) (4H, 9H) ppm. 13C-NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta 169.58, 143.63, 133.79, 132.79, 129.77, 126.38, 122.02, 50.20, 36.29, 18.13, 10.89, -1.90 \) ppm. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₂₃H₃₀O₃SSiNa [M + Na]+ 437.1564, found 437.1564.

Fluoride-triggered production of 2-(1-fluoro-1-methylethyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[\( \beta \)]thiophene-7-carboxylic acid methyl ester (15a) by treatment of (13a–d) with tetrabutylammonium fluoride in THF, followed by diazomethane workup

A solution of 13a (20 mg, 0.046 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was placed in a flame-dried flask flushed with nitrogen. This solution, tetrabutylammonium fluoride (0.37 mL of a 1 M solution in THF, 0.37 mmol, 0.27 M) was added, followed by addition of diazomethane (2 mL of a 0.66 M solution in ether, warning: EXPLOSION HAZARD) with vigorous stirring. Stirring was continued for 30 min and the mixture was treated with diethyl ether (3 × 5 mL). The ether extracts were combined and washed with water (1 × 5 mL) followed by brine (1 × 5 mL). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a pale yellow oil. Flash column chromatography on silica gel eluted with 9 : 1 hexane : ethylacetate) and as a pure compound. These compounds are unstable and were used without further purification.

General procedure for the conversion of sulfides 12a–d to sulfoxides 13a–d

To a rapidly stirred dilute solution of the sulfide 12a–d (50 mg, 0.12 mmol) in HPLC grade acetone (10 mL) freshly prepared dimethyl dioxirane (1.5 mL of a ∼ 0.09 M solution in acetone) was added slowly. The reaction was fast and careful monitoring by TLC was essential to limit overoxidation. The solvent mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure to give the sulfoxide 13a–d as a colorless oil and as a pure compound. These compounds are unstable and were used without further purification.

3-(3-Methylbut-2-enyl)-2-[2-(trimethylsilanyl)ethanesulfinyl]-benzoic acid S-phenyl ester 13a

Obtained in 72% yield (\( R_t = 0.56 \) in 4 : 1 hexane : ethylacetate). 1H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta 7.95 – 7.55 \) (m, 3H), 7.47 – 7.41 (m, 1H), 7.12 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 6.50 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 5.97 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 3.48 (m, 1H), 2.90 (m, 1H), 1.82 (s, 3H), 1.78 (s, 3H), 1.46 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.02 (s, 9H) ppm. 13C-NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta 192.09, 143.14, 133.79, 130.29, 129.59, 129.27, 127.79, 126.38, 122.25, 50.36, 36.29, 25.69, 18.13, 10.89, -1.90 \) ppm. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₁₇H₁₅FO₂S [M + H]+ 343.1150, found 343.1150.

3-(3-Methylbut-2-enyl)-2-[2-(trimethylsilanyl)ethanesulfinyl]-benzoic acid p-nitrophenyl ester 13b

Obtained in 85% yield (\( R_t = 0.27 \) in 5 : 1 hexane : ethylacetate). 1H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta 8.34 (d, J = 2.2 \) Hz, 2H), 7.63 – 7.29 (m, 5H), 5.22 (m, 1H), 3.55 (m, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.48 (m, 1H), 2.95 (m, 1H), 1.78 (s, 6H), 1.24 (m, 1H), 0.87 (m, 1H), 0.0 (s, 9H) ppm. 13C-NMR (125.75 MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta 166.67, 145.14, 141.32, 128.90, 127.79, 124.19, 123.63, 96.95 (d, J = 16.5 Hz), 52.63, 36.29, 25.70, 18.12, 11.22, -1.91 \) ppm. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₁₈H₁₈O₂SSiNa [M + Na]+ 375.1420, found 375.1428.

3-(3-Methylbut-2-enyl)-2-[2-(trimethylsilanyl)ethanesulfinyl]-benzoic acid phenyl ester 13c

Obtained in 96% yield (\( R_t = 0.32 \) in 5 : 1 hexane : ethylacetate). 1H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta 7.64 – 7.29 \) (m, 8H, aromatic), 5.23 (m, 1H), 3.66 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 3.49 (m, 1H), 2.99 (m, 1H), 1.76 (s, 6H), 1.27 (m, 1H), 0.84 (m, 1H), 0.02 (s, 9H) ppm. 13C-NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta 166.61, 150.74, 141.52, 133.95, 133.04, 131.78, 130.48, 129.46, 127.99, 126.01, 122.05, 121.71, 50.11, 33.87, 32.13, 31.55, 18.14, 11.14, -1.99 \) ppm. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₁₇H₁₅FO₂S [M + H]+ 343.1577, found 343.1564.

3-(3-Methylbut-2-enyl)-2-[2-(trimethylsilanyl)ethanesulfinyl]-phenylbenzoic acid methyl ester 13d

Obtained in 53% yield (\( R_t = 0.38 \) in 5 : 1 hexane : ethylacetate). 1H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta 7.40 – 7.28 \) (m, 3H), 5.14 (m, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.60 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 3.39 (m, 1H), 2.90 (m, 1H), 1.67 (s, 6H), 1.22 (m, 1H), 0.79 (m, 1H), 0.0 (s, 9H) ppm. 13C-NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta 168.45, 141.83, 141.16, 133.73, 132.82, 132.25, 130.33, 127.58, 122.25, 52.63, 30.67, 25.70, 18.12, 11.22, -1.91 \) ppm. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₁₀H₁₆O₂SSiNa [M + Na]+ 359.1471, found 359.1460.

Generation of 2-(1-methoxy-1-methylethyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[\( \beta \)]thiophene-7-carboxylic acid methyl ester (15b) by treatment of (13a–d) with tetrabutylammonium fluoride in THF, followed by diazomethane workup

To a solution of 13a (20 mg, 0.046 mmol) in THF (1 mL) was placed in a flame-dried flask flushed with nitrogen. This solution, tetrabutylammonium fluoride (0.37 mL of a 1 M solution in THF, 0.37 mmol, 0.27 M) was added, followed by addition of diazomethane (2 mL of a 0.66 M solution in ether, warning: EXPLOSION HAZARD) with vigorous stirring. Stirring was continued for 30 min and the mixture was treated with diethyl ether (3 × 5 mL). The ether extracts were combined and washed with water (1 × 5 mL) followed by brine (1 × 5 mL). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a pale yellow oil. Flash column chromatography on silica gel eluted with 9 : 1 hexane : ethylacetate) and as a pure compound. These compounds are unstable and were used without further purification.
and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a pale yellow oil. Flash column chromatography on silica gel eluted with 6 : 1 hexane : ethylacetate gave 15a (5.3 mg, 45%) as a colorless oil and 15b (2.7 mg, 22%, $R_f = 0.33$ in 6 : 1 hexane : ethylacetate) as a colorless oil. All spectral data for this compound matched those reported previously. 

Trapping by methyl iodide of the sulfinic intermediate 14a generated from 13a

To a stirred solution of 13a (20 mg, 0.046 mmol) in THF (1 mL) under nitrogen, triphenylmethylsulfinic acid (0.37 mg) and 15b (2.7 mg, 22%) was added with vigorous stirring. After 30 min, the mixture was washed with water (1 mL) and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield 2-(1-hydroxy-1-methyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[f]thiophene-7-carboxylic acid methyl ester (10) in acetonitrile–aqueous buffer.

Fluoride-independent conversion of 13a and 13b in aqueous buffer followed by diazomethane workup to yield 2-(1-hydroxy-1-methylethyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzo[f]thiophene-7-carboxylic acid methyl ester (10) in acetonitrile–aqueous buffer

Compound 13a (20 mg, 0.046 mmol) was stirred in a solution of acetonitrile (2.5 mL), sodium phosphate buffer (0.5 mL of a 500 mM, pH 7), and water (2 mL). Final concentrations in the reaction mixture were: 13a, 9.2 mM, sodium phosphate, 50 mM, pH 7, acetonitrile 50% by volume. Dilute HCl (1 mL of a 1 M solution, pH ≈ 3) was added to the reaction, followed by diazomethane (2 mL of a 0.66 M solution in ether, warning: EXPLOSION HAZARD). The mixture was stirred for 30 min and then extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 5 mL). The combined ether extracts were washed with water (1 × 5 mL) and brine (1 × 5 mL), dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a colorless oil. All spectral data for this compound matched those reported previously. Similarly, 13b affords 10 (32% yield) under these reaction conditions. It is noteworthy that addition of KF (50 mM) does not alter the rate or yield of this reaction. Finally, compounds 13c and 13d remained unchanged when subjected to the conditions described above (either with or without KF).
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