

ON THE GEOMETRY OF ORBIT CLOSURES FOR REPRESENTATION-INFINITE ALGEBRAS

CALIN CHINDRIS

ABSTRACT. For the Kronecker algebra, Zwara found in [13] an example of a module whose orbit closure is neither unibranch nor Cohen-Macaulay. In this paper, we explain how to extend this example to all representation-infinite algebras with a preprojective component.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. All algebras (associative and with identity) are assumed to be finite-dimensional over k , and all modules are assumed to be finite-dimensional left modules.

One important problem in the geometric representation theory of algebras is that of describing the orbit closures of modules in module varieties. In [12, Remark 5.1], Zwara asked whether the orbit closure of an arbitrary module over a tame concealed algebra is a unibranch variety. On the other hand, Zwara constructed in [13, Theorem 1] an orbit closure of a module over the Kronecker algebra with bad singularities.

Our goal in this short paper is to explain how orthogonal exceptional sequences can be used to extend the aforementioned example to all connected representation-infinite algebras with a preprojective component (in particular, to all tame concealed algebras). We prove that:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $A = kQ/I$ be a connected representation-infinite algebra with a preprojective component. Then, there exists a dimension vector $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}$ and a module $M \in \text{mod}(A, \mathbf{d})$ such that the orbit closure $\overline{GL(\mathbf{d})M}$ is neither unibranch nor Cohen-Macaulay.*

In [12, Corollary 1.3], Zwara showed that the orbit closures of modules for representation-infinite algebras are always unibranch varieties. This result combined with Theorem 1.1 shows that an algebra with a preprojective component is representation-finite if and only if all of its orbit closures are unibranch.

The layout of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we review background material on module varieties; in particular, we recall the notions of orthogonal exceptional sequences, and effective weights for finite-dimensional algebras. We prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 3.

2. BACKGROUND ON MODULE VARIETIES

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, t, h)$ be a finite quiver with vertex set Q_0 and arrow set Q_1 . The two functions $t, h : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0$ assign to each arrow $a \in Q_1$ its tail ta and head ha , respectively.

Date: August 5, 2011; Revised: December 9, 2011.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16G30; Secondary 16G20, 16R30.

Key words and phrases. Cones of effective weights, exceptional sequences, orbit closures, tame concealed algebras.

A representation M of Q over k is a collection $(M(i), M(a))_{i \in Q_0, a \in Q_1}$ of finite-dimensional k -vector spaces $M(i)$, $i \in Q_0$, and k -linear maps $M(a) \in \text{Hom}_k(M(ta), M(ha))$, $a \in Q_1$. The dimension vector of a representation M of Q is the function $\dim M : Q_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $(\dim M)(i) = \dim_k M(i)$ for $i \in Q_0$. Let S_i be the one-dimensional representation of Q at vertex $i \in Q_0$ and let us denote by e_i its dimension vector. By a dimension vector of Q , we simply mean a function $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{Q_0}$.

Given two representations M and N of Q , we define a morphism $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ to be a collection $(\varphi(i))_{i \in Q_0}$ of k -linear maps with $\varphi(i) \in \text{Hom}_k(M(i), N(i))$ for each $i \in Q_0$, and such that $\varphi(ha)M(a) = N(a)\varphi(ta)$ for each $a \in Q_1$. We denote by $\text{Hom}_Q(M, N)$ the k -vector space of all morphisms from M to N . Let M and N be two representations of Q . We say that M is a subrepresentation of N if $M(i)$ is a subspace of $N(i)$ for each $i \in Q_0$ and $M(a)$ is the restriction of $N(a)$ to $M(ta)$ for each $a \in Q_1$. In this way, we obtain the abelian category $\text{rep}(Q)$ of all representations of Q .

Given a quiver Q , its path algebra kQ has a k -basis consisting of all paths (including the trivial ones) and the multiplication in kQ is given by concatenation of paths. It is easy to see that any kQ -module defines a representation of Q , and vice-versa. Furthermore, the category $\text{mod}(kQ)$ of kQ -modules is equivalent to the category $\text{rep}(Q)$. In what follows, we identify $\text{mod}(kQ)$ and $\text{rep}(Q)$, and use the same notation for a module and the corresponding representation.

A two-sided ideal I of kQ is said to be *admissible* if there exists an integer $L \geq 2$ such that $R_Q^L \subseteq I \subseteq R_Q^2$. Here, R_Q denotes the two-sided ideal of kQ generated by all arrows of Q .

If I is an admissible ideal of kQ , the pair (Q, I) is called a *bound quiver* and the quotient algebra kQ/I is called the *bound quiver algebra* of (Q, I) . It is well-known that any basic algebra A is isomorphic to the bound quiver algebra of a bound quiver (Q_A, I) , where Q_A is the Gabriel quiver of A (see [1]). (Note that the ideal of relations I is not uniquely determined by A .) We say that A is a *triangular algebra* if its Gabriel quiver has no oriented cycles.

Fix a bound quiver (Q, I) and let $A = kQ/I$ be its bound quiver algebra. We denote by e_i the primitive idempotent corresponding to the vertex $i \in Q_0$. A representation M of a A (or (Q, I)) is just a representation M of Q such that $M(r) = 0$ for all $r \in I$. The category $\text{mod}(A)$ of finite-dimensional left A -modules is equivalent to the category $\text{rep}(A)$ of representations of A . As before, we identify $\text{mod}(A)$ and $\text{rep}(A)$, and make no distinction between A -modules and representations of A .

Assume from now on that A has finite global dimension; this happens, for example, when Q has no oriented cycles. The Ringel form of A is the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A : \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0} \times \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e} \rangle_A = \sum_{l \geq 0} (-1)^l \sum_{i, j \in Q_0} \dim_k \text{Ext}_A^l(S_i, S_j) d(i) e(j).$$

Note that if M is a \mathbf{d} -dimensional A -module and N is an \mathbf{e} -dimensional A -module then

$$\langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e} \rangle_A = \sum_{l \geq 0} (-1)^l \dim_k \text{Ext}_A^l(M, N).$$

The quadratic form induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$ is denoted by χ_A .

The *Tits form* of A is the integral quadratic form $q_A : \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$q_A(\mathbf{d}) := \sum_{i \in Q_0} \mathbf{d}^2(i) - \sum_{i,j \in Q_0} \dim_k \text{Ext}_A^1(S_i, S_j) \mathbf{d}(i) \mathbf{d}(j) + \sum_{i,j \in Q_0} \dim_k \text{Ext}_A^2(S_i, S_j) \mathbf{d}(i) \mathbf{d}(j).$$

If A is triangular then $r(i, j) := |\mathbb{R} \cap e_j \langle \mathbb{R} \rangle e_i|$ is precisely $\dim_k \text{Ext}_A^2(S_i, S_j)$, $\forall i, j \in Q_0$, as shown by Bongartz in [3]. So, in the triangular case, we can write

$$q_A(\mathbf{d}) = \sum_{i \in Q_0} \mathbf{d}^2(i) - \sum_{a \in Q_1} \mathbf{d}(ta) \mathbf{d}(ha) + \sum_{i,j \in Q_0} r(i, j) \mathbf{d}(i) \mathbf{d}(j).$$

A dimension vector \mathbf{d} of A is called a *root* if \mathbf{d} is the dimension vector of an indecomposable A -module. A root \mathbf{d} of A is said to be *isotropic* if $q_A(\mathbf{d}) = 0$; we say it is *real* if $q_A(\mathbf{d}) = 1$. Finally, we say that \mathbf{d} is a *Schur root* if \mathbf{d} is the dimension vector of an A -module M for which $\text{End}_A(M) \simeq k$. Such a module M is called a *Schur module*.

Let \mathbf{d} be a dimension vector of A (or equivalently, of Q). The variety of \mathbf{d} -dimensional A -modules is the affine variety

$$\text{mod}(A, \mathbf{d}) = \{M \in \prod_{a \in Q_1} \text{Mat}_{\mathbf{d}(ha) \times \mathbf{d}(ta)}(k) \mid M(\mathbf{r}) = 0, \forall \mathbf{r} \in I\}.$$

It is clear that $\text{mod}(A, \mathbf{d})$ is a $\text{GL}(\mathbf{d})$ -invariant closed subset of the affine space $\text{mod}(Q, \mathbf{d}) := \prod_{a \in Q_1} \text{Mat}_{\mathbf{d}(ha) \times \mathbf{d}(ta)}(k)$. Note that $\text{mod}(A, \mathbf{d})$ does not have to be irreducible. We call $\text{mod}(A, \mathbf{d})$ the *module variety* of \mathbf{d} -dimensional A -modules.

2.1. Orthogonal exceptional sequences. Recall that a sequence $\mathcal{E} = (E_1, \dots, E_t)$ of finite-dimensional A -modules is called an *orthogonal exceptional sequence* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) E_i is an exceptional module, i.e, $\text{End}_A(E_i) = k$ and $\text{Ext}_A^l(E_i, E_i) = 0$ for all $l \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq t$;
- (2) $\text{Ext}_A^l(E_i, E_j) = 0$ for all $l \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq t$;
- (3) $\text{Hom}_A(E_j, E_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq t$.

(If we drop condition (3), we simply call \mathcal{E} an *exceptional sequence*.)

Given an orthogonal exceptional sequence \mathcal{E} , consider the full subcategory $\text{filt}_{\mathcal{E}}$ of $\text{mod}(A)$ whose objects M have a finite filtration $0 = M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_s = M$ of submodules such that each factor M_j/M_{j-1} is isomorphic to one the E_1, \dots, E_t . It is clear that $\text{filt}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a full exact subcategory of $\text{mod}(A)$ which is closed under extensions. Moreover, Ringel [9] (see also [5]) showed that $\text{filt}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is an abelian subcategory whose simple objects are precisely E_1, \dots, E_t .

Let $A_{\mathcal{E}} = kQ_{\mathcal{E}}/I_{\mathcal{E}}$ be the bound quiver algebra where the Gabriel quiver $Q_{\mathcal{E}}$ has vertex set $\{1, \dots, t\}$ and $\dim_k \text{Ext}_A^1(E_i, E_j)$ arrows from i to j for all $1 \leq i, j \leq t$. The ideal $I_{\mathcal{E}}$ is determined by the A_{∞} -algebra structure of the the Yoneda algebra $\text{Ext}_Q^{\bullet}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^t E_i, \bigoplus_{i=1}^t E_i)$. From the work of Keller [8, 7], we know that there exists an equivalence of categories $F_{\mathcal{E}} : \text{mod}(A_{\mathcal{E}}) \rightarrow \text{filt}_{\mathcal{E}}$ sending the simple $A_{\mathcal{E}}$ -module S_i at vertex i to E_i for all $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Now, consider a dimension vector \mathbf{d}' of $Q_{\mathcal{E}}$ and set $\mathbf{d} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} \mathbf{d}'(i) \dim E_i$. Then, there exist a regular morphism $f_{\mathcal{E}} : \text{mod}(A_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathbf{d}') \rightarrow \text{mod}(A, \mathbf{d})$ such that $f_{\mathcal{E}}(M') \simeq F_{\mathcal{E}}(M')$ for all $M' \in \text{mod}(A_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathbf{d}')$ (for more details, see [4, Section 5]).

As an immediate consequence of Zwara's Theorem 1.2 in [11], we have:

Proposition 2.1. *Keep the same notations as above and let $M' \in \text{mod}(A_\varepsilon, \mathbf{d}')$. Then, $\overline{\text{GL}(\mathbf{d}')M'}$ is smooth/unibranch/Cohen-Macaulay at some N' if and only if the same is true for $\overline{\text{GL}(\mathbf{d})f_\varepsilon(M')}$ at $f_\varepsilon(N')$.*

Remark 2.2. In particular, this proposition allows us to construct orbit closures in $\text{mod}(A, \mathbf{d})$ with bad singularities by reducing the considerations to the smaller algebra A_ε . What is needed at this point is an effective method for constructing convenient orthogonal exceptional sequences. This is addressed in the section below.

2.2. Cones of effective weights. Let \mathbf{d} be a dimension vector of A and let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{Q_0}$ be a real weight. Given a vector $\mathbf{d}' \in \mathbb{R}^{Q_0}$, we define $\theta(\mathbf{d}') = \sum_{i \in Q_0} \theta(i) \mathbf{d}'(i)$. Recall that a module $M \in \text{mod}(A)$ is said to be θ -semi-stable if $\theta(\dim M) = 0$ and $\theta(\dim M') \leq 0$ for all submodules $M' \subseteq M$. We say that M is θ -stable if $\theta(\dim M) = 0$ and $\theta(\dim M') < 0$ for all proper submodules $\{0\} \subset M' \subset M$. Denote by $\text{mod}(A)_\theta^{\text{ss}}$ the full subcategory of $\text{mod}(A)$ consisting of all θ -semi-stable A -modules. Then, $\text{mod}(A)_\theta^{\text{ss}}$ is an abelian subcategory of $\text{mod}(A)$ which is closed under extensions, and whose simple objects are precisely the θ -stable A -modules. Moreover, $\text{mod}(A)_\theta^{\text{ss}}$ is Artinian and Noetherian, and hence, every θ -semi-stable finite-dimensional A -module has a Jordan-Hölder filtration in $\text{mod}(A)_\theta^{\text{ss}}$.

Now, let C be an irreducible component of $\text{mod}(A, \mathbf{d})$. We define $C_\theta^{\text{s(s)}} = \{M \in C \mid M \text{ is } \theta\text{-}(semi\text{-})\text{stable}\}$. The cone of effective weights of C is, by definition, the set

$$\text{Eff}(C) = \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{Q_0} \mid C_\theta^{\text{ss}} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

It is well known that $\text{Eff}(C)$ is a rational convex polyhedral cone of dimension at most $|Q_0| - 1$. Given a lattice point θ_0 in $\text{Eff}(C)$, we say that

$$\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d}_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} \mathbf{d}_t$$

is the θ_0 -stable decomposition of \mathbf{d} in C if the generic module M in C has a Jordan-Hölder filtration $\{0\} = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_t = M$ in $\text{mod}(A)_{\theta_0}^{\text{ss}}$ such that the sequence $(\dim M_1, \dim M_1/M_2, \dots, \dim M/M_{t-1})$ is the same as $(\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_t)$ up to permutation (for more details, see [4, Section 6.2]). If \mathbf{d}' is a dimension vector that occurs in a stable decomposition with multiplicity m , we write $m \cdot \mathbf{d}'$ instead of $\underbrace{\mathbf{d}' \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} \mathbf{d}'}_m$.

In what follows, we denote by $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{d})$ the hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^{Q_0} orthogonal to a real-valued function $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^{Q_0}$, i.e., $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{d}) = \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{Q_0} \mid \theta(\mathbf{d}) = 0\}$.

Lemma 2.3. [4, Lemma 6.5] *Let \mathcal{F} be a face of $\text{Eff}(C)$ of positive dimension, $\theta_0 \in \text{relint Eff}(C) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$, and*

$$\mathbf{d} = m_1 \cdot \mathbf{d}_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} m_t \cdot \mathbf{d}_t$$

the θ_0 -stable decomposition of \mathbf{d} in C with $\mathbf{d}_i \neq \mathbf{d}_j, \forall 1 \leq i \neq j \leq t$. Then,

$$\mathcal{F} = \text{Eff}(C) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^t \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{d}_i).$$

As a direct consequence of this lemma, we have the following useful result:

Corollary 2.4. *Assume that $\text{Eff}(C)$ has dimension $|Q_0| - 1$ and let \mathcal{F} be a facet of $\text{Eff}(C)$. Let $\theta_0 \in \text{relint Eff}(C) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$ and let*

$$\mathbf{d} = m_1 \cdot \mathbf{d}_1 \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} m_t \cdot \mathbf{d}_t$$

be the θ_0 -stable decomposition of \mathbf{d} in C with $\mathbf{d}_i \neq \mathbf{d}_j, \forall 1 \leq i \neq j \leq t$.

If the dimension vectors $\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_t$ are indivisible then $\mathcal{F} = \text{Eff}(\Lambda, \mathbf{d}) \cap \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{d}_1) \cap \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{d}_2)$ and $\mathbf{d} = n_1 \mathbf{d}_1 + n_2 \mathbf{d}_2$ for unique numbers n_1 and n_2 .

Proof. Note that \mathcal{F} has dimension $|Q_0| - 2$, and so $t \geq 2$. Moreover, the dimension of the subspace of \mathbb{R}^{Q_0} orthogonal to the subspace spanned by $\{\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2\}$ is at least $|Q_0| - 2$ since it contains \mathcal{F} . In particular, the set $\{\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2\}$ is linearly dependent. Since \mathbf{d}_1 and \mathbf{d}_2 are distinct indivisible vectors, we deduce that $\mathbf{d} = n_1 \mathbf{d}_1 + n_2 \mathbf{d}_2$ for unique numbers n_1 and n_2 .

When $t = 2$, the proof follows from Lemma 2.3. Now, let us assume that $t \geq 3$. Arguing as before, we deduce that \mathbf{d} is a linear combination of \mathbf{d}_i and \mathbf{d}_1 , and \mathbf{d} is also a linear combination of \mathbf{d}_i and \mathbf{d}_2 for all $3 \leq i \leq t$. So, \mathbf{d}_i is a linear combination of \mathbf{d}_1 and \mathbf{d}_2 for all i , and this implies that $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{d}_1) \cap \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{d}_2) = \bigcap_{i=1}^t \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{d}_i)$. The proof of the claim now follows again from Lemma 2.3. \square

In the next section, we use this description of the facets of $\text{Eff}(C)$ to prove the existence of short orthogonal exceptional sequences for tame concealed algebras.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We begin with the following example due to Zwara (see [13]):

Theorem 3.1. *Let K_2 be the Kronecker quiver*

$$1 \implies 2$$

Label the arrows by \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} . Consider the following representation $M \in \text{rep}(K_2, (3, 3))$ defined by $M(\mathbf{a}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $M(\mathbf{b}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then, $\overline{\text{GL}((3, 3))M}$ is neither unibranch nor Cohen-Macaulay.

It essentially follows from the work of Happel and Vossieck in [6] that a basic, connected, representation-infinite algebra admitting a preprojective component has a tame concealed algebra as a factor (see also [10, Section XIV.3]). Consequently, to prove our theorem, we can reduce the considerations to the tame concealed case. Let us now briefly recall some of the key features of a tame concealed algebra $A = kQ/I$. It is well-known that there is a unique indivisible dimension vector \mathbf{h} of A such that $q_A(\mathbf{h}) = 0$. In fact, \mathbf{h} turns out to be the unique isotropic Schur root of A . Let $\theta_{\mathbf{h}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$ be the weight defined by $\theta_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{d}) = \langle \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{d} \rangle_A, \forall \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0}$. Now, let \mathcal{P} (\mathcal{R} , \mathcal{Q} , respectively) be the full subcategory of $\text{mod}(A)$ consisting of all A -modules that are direct sums of indecomposable A -modules X such that $\theta_{\mathbf{h}}(\dim X) < 0$ ($\theta_{\mathbf{h}}(\dim X) = 0$, $\theta_{\mathbf{h}}(\dim X) > 0$, respectively). The following properties hold true.

- (i) $\text{mod}(A) = \mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{Q}$, where the symbol \vee indicates the formation of the additive closure of the union of the subcategories involved.
- (ii) $\text{Hom}_A(N, M) = \text{Ext}_A^1(M, N) = 0$ if either $N \in \mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{Q}, M \in \mathcal{P}$ or $N \in \mathcal{Q}, M \in \mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{R}$.
- (iii) $\text{pd}_A M \leq 1$ for all $M \in \mathcal{P} \vee \mathcal{R}$ and $\text{id}_A N \leq 1$ for all $N \in \mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{Q}$.

The next two results have been proved for certain tame concealed algebras in [4, Section 6.2], and the arguments in loc. cit. work for arbitrary tame concealed algebras. Nonetheless, for completeness and for the convenience of the reader, we provide the proofs below.

Lemma 3.2. *If A is a tame concealed algebra then $\text{mod}(A, \mathbf{h})_{\theta_{\mathbf{h}}}^s \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. First of all, it is clear that $\text{mod}(A, \mathbf{h})_{\theta_{\mathbf{h}}}^{ss} \neq \emptyset$ since any \mathbf{h} -dimensional A -module from \mathcal{R} is $\theta_{\mathbf{h}}$ -semi-stable. Let $M \in \text{mod}(A, \mathbf{h})$ be an indecomposable module that lies in a homogeneous tube of \mathcal{R} . We are going to show that M is $\theta_{\mathbf{h}}$ -stable. Assume to the contrary that M is not $\theta_{\mathbf{h}}$ -stable and consider a Jordan-Hölder filtration of M in $\text{mod}(A)_{\theta_{\mathbf{h}}}^{ss}$. This way, we can see that M must have a proper $\theta_{\mathbf{h}}$ -stable submodule M' . Then, M' must belong to the homogeneous tube of M , and from this we deduce that $\dim M'$ is an integer multiple of \mathbf{h} . But this is a contradiction. \square

Proposition 3.3. *If A is a tame concealed algebra then there exists an orthogonal exceptional sequence $\mathcal{E} = (E_1, E_2)$ of A -modules such that $A_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the path algebra of the Kronecker quiver K_2 .*

Proof. Let \mathbf{h} be the unique isotropic Schur root of A . The module variety $\text{mod}(A, \mathbf{h})$ is irreducible by Corollary 3 in [2], and let us denote its cone of effective weights by $\text{Eff}(A, \mathbf{h})$. We know from Lemma 3.2 that there exists a module $M \in \text{mod}(A, \mathbf{h})$ which is $\theta_{\mathbf{h}}$ -stable. In other words, the subset $\Omega^0(M)$ of $\text{Eff}(A, \mathbf{h})$, defined as $\Omega^0(M) = \{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{Q_0} \mid \theta(\mathbf{h}) = 0, \theta(\dim M') < 0, \forall \{0\} \subset M' \subset M\}$, is a non-empty open (with respect to the Euclidean topology) subset of $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{h})$. We deduce from this that $\dim \text{Eff}(A, \mathbf{h}) = |Q_0| - 1$. Next, choose a facet \mathcal{F} of the cone $\text{Eff}(A, \mathbf{h})$ and a weight $\theta_0 \in \text{relint } \mathcal{F} \cap \mathbb{Z}^{\Delta_0}$. Now, consider the θ_0 -stable decomposition of \mathbf{h} in $\text{mod}(A, \mathbf{h})$:

$$\mathbf{h} = m_1 \cdot \mathbf{h}_1 + \dots + m_t \cdot \mathbf{h}_t,$$

with m_1, \dots, m_t positive integers and $\mathbf{h}_i \neq \mathbf{h}_j, \forall 1 \leq i \neq j \leq t$. Note that $\mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_t$ are indivisible real Schur roots.

For each $1 \leq i \leq t$, let E_i be a \mathbf{h}_i -dimensional θ_0 -stable module that arises as a factor of a Jordan-Hölder filtration of a generic module M in $\text{mod}(A, \mathbf{h})$. Note that we can choose M to be $\theta_{\mathbf{h}}$ -stable by Lemma 3.2. Furthermore, we have that $\text{Hom}_A(E_i, E_j) = 0, \forall 1 \leq i \neq j \leq t$, since E_1, \dots, E_t are pairwise non-isomorphic (θ_0 -)stable modules.

Since $\mathbf{h}_1, \dots, \mathbf{h}_t$ are indivisible, we have $\mathcal{F} = \text{Eff}(\Lambda, \mathbf{h}) \cap \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{h}_1) \cap \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{h}_2)$ and $\mathbf{h} = n_1 \mathbf{h}_1 + n_2 \mathbf{h}_2$ for unique numbers n_1 and n_2 by Corollary 2.4.

We have that $q_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{h}_1) = q_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{h}_2) = 1$, and E_1 and E_2 are exceptional A -modules. To simplify notation, set $l = -\langle \mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2 \rangle_{\Lambda} - \langle \mathbf{h}_2, \mathbf{h}_1 \rangle_{\Lambda}$. Then, using the fact that \mathbf{h} is an isotropic root in the radical of χ_{Λ} , we deduce that $2n_1 = n_2 l, 2n_2 = n_1 l$, and $n_1^2 + n_2^2 = l n_1 n_2$. From these relations and the fact that \mathbf{h} is indivisible, we deduce that $n_1 = n_2 = 1$ and $l = 2$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that E_1 is a submodule of M and $E_2 = M/E_1$. Then, we have that $\dim_k \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(E_2, E_1) > 0$.

In what follows, we show that $\mathcal{E} := (E_1, E_2)$ is an orthogonal exceptional sequence with $\dim_k \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(E_2, E_1) = 2$ and $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^2(E_2, E_1) = 0$.

As M is $\theta_{\mathbf{h}}$ -stable we have that $\theta_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{h}_1) < 0$ and $\theta_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{h}_2) > 0$. Using the properties (ii)-(iii) mentioned above, we conclude that \mathcal{E} is an orthogonal exceptional sequence; in particular, we have that $\langle \mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2 \rangle_{\Lambda} = 0$, and so $\dim_k \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(E_2, E_1) - \dim_k \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^2(E_2, E_1) = -\langle \mathbf{h}_2, \mathbf{h}_1 \rangle_{\Lambda} = 2$. Finally, consider exact sequence $0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow 0$ and the induced exact sequence:

$$0 = \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(E_2, E_2) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^2(E_2, E_1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^2(E_2, M) = 0.$$

It is now clear that \mathcal{E} has indeed the desired properties. □

Now, we are ready to prove out theorem:

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It follows immediately from Proposition 3.3, Proposition 2.1, and Theorem 3.1. □

Acknowledgment. I am very grateful to the referee for a careful reading of the paper. The author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1101383.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Assem, D. Simson, and A. Skowroński. *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras. Vol. 1: Techniques of representation theory*, volume 65 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [2] G. Bobiński and A. Skowroński. Geometry of modules over tame quasi-tilted algebras. *Colloq. Math.*, 79(1):85–118, 1999.
- [3] K. Bongartz. Algebras and quadratic forms. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 28(3):461–469, 1983.
- [4] C. Chindris. Geometric characterizations of the representation type of hereditary algebras and of canonical algebra. *Advances in Mathematics* (2011), doi:10.1016/j.aim.2011.06.016. Preprint available at arXiv:1009.3328v2, 2010.
- [5] H. Derksen and J. Weyman. The combinatorics of quiver representations. Preprint available at arXiv.math.RT/0608288, 2006.
- [6] D. Happel and D. Vossieck. Minimal algebras of infinite representation type with preprojective component. *Manuscripta Math.*, 42(2-3):221–243, 1983.
- [7] B. Keller. A-infinity algebras in representation theory. In *Representations of algebra. Vol. I, II*, pages 74–86. Beijing Norm. Univ. Press, Beijing, 2002.
- [8] B. Keller. A-infinity algebras, modules and functor categories. In *Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics*, volume 406 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 67–93. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [9] C.M. Ringel. The braid group action on the set of exceptional sequences of a hereditary Artin algebra. In *Abelian group theory and related topics (Oberwolfach, 1993)*, volume 171 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 339–352. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [10] D. Simson and A. Skowroński. *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras. Vol. 2*, volume 71 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007. Tubes and concealed algebras of Euclidean type.
- [11] G. Zwara. Smooth morphisms of module schemes. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 84(3):539–558, 2002.
- [12] G. Zwara. Unibranch orbit closures in module varieties. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 35(6):877–895, 2002.
- [13] G. Zwara. An orbit closure for a representation of the Kronecker quiver with bad singularities. *Colloq. Math.*, 97(1):81–86, 2003.

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, COLUMBIA, MO 65211, USA
E-mail address, Calin Chindris: chindrisc@missouri.edu