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Abstract

We study sums of the form

\[ S_\alpha(f, x) = \sum_{n \leq x, n \in B_\alpha} f(n), \]

where \( f \) is an arbitrary arithmetic function satisfying a mild growth condition, and \( B_\alpha = ([\alpha k])_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \) is the homogeneous Beatty sequence corresponding to a real number \( \alpha > 1 \). We show that for almost all \( \alpha > 1 \) the asymptotic formula

\[ S_\alpha(f, x) \sim \alpha^{-1} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) \quad (x \to \infty) \]

holds, and we give a strong bound on the error term. Our results extend and improve the earlier results of several authors.
1 Introduction

1.1 Background

For a real number $\alpha > 1$, the homogeneous Beatty sequence corresponding to $\alpha$ is the sequence of natural numbers given by

$$B_\alpha = ([\alpha k])_{k \in \mathbb{N}},$$

where $[t]$ denotes the greatest integer $\leq t$. Beatty sequences appear in a variety of contexts and have been extensively explored in the literature. In particular, summatory functions of the form

$$S_\alpha(f, x) = \sum_{n \leq x, n \in B_\alpha} f(n)$$

have been studied when the arithmetic function $f$ is

- a multiplicative or an additive function (see [1, 2, 8, 9, 10, 11]);
- a Dirichlet character (see [2, 3, 5]);
- the characteristic function of primes or smooth numbers (see [4, 6, 7]).

For an arbitrary arithmetic function $f$ we denote

$$S(f, x) = S_1(f, x) = \sum_{n \leq x} f(n).$$

Abercrombie [1] has shown that for the divisor function $\tau$ the asymptotic formula

$$S_\alpha(\tau, x) = \alpha^{-1}S(\tau, x) + O(x^{5/7+\varepsilon})$$

holds for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and almost all $\alpha > 1$ (with respect to Lebesgue measure), where the implied constant depends only on $\alpha$ and $\varepsilon$. This result has been improved and extended by Zhai [14] as follows. For a fixed integer $r \geq 1$, let $\tau_r(n)$ be the number of ways to express $n$ as a product of $r$ natural numbers, expressions with the same factors in a different order being counted as different (in particular, $\tau_2 = \tau$ is the usual divisor function). In [14] it is shown that the asymptotic formula

$$S_\alpha(\tau_r, x) = \alpha^{-1}S(\tau_r, x) + O(x^{(r-1)/r+\varepsilon})$$
holds for any ε > 0 and almost all α > 1 (in the special case r = 2 a similar result has also been obtained by Begunts [8]). The estimate (4) has been further improved by Lü and Zhai [11] as follows:

\[ S_\alpha(\tau_r, x) = \alpha^{-1} S(\tau_r, x) + \begin{cases} O(x^{(r-1)/(r+\varepsilon)}) & \text{if } 2 \leq r \leq 4; \\ O(x^{4/5+\varepsilon}) & \text{if } r \geq 5. \end{cases} \] (5)

1.2 Our result

In this paper, we use the methods of [1] to derive an asymptotic formula for \( S_\alpha(f, x) \) which holds for almost all \( \alpha > 1 \) whenever \( f \) satisfies a rather mild growth condition. In particular, we do not stipulate any conditions on the multiplicative or additive properties of \( f \) (or on any other properties of \( f \) except for the rate of growth). Our general result, when applied to the divisor functions, yields a statement stronger than (3) and an improvement of (5) for all \( r \geq 4 \), and it can be applied to many other number theoretic functions (and to powers and products of such functions), including:

- the Möbius function \( \mu(n) \),
- the Euler function \( \varphi(n) \),
- the number of prime divisors \( \omega(n) \),
- the sum \( \sigma_g(n) \) of the digits of \( n \) in a given base \( g \geq 2 \).

On the other hand, we note that although the results of [1, 11, 14] are formulated as bounds which hold for almost all \( \alpha \), the methods of those papers are somewhat more explicit than ours, and the results can be applied to any “individual” numbers \( \alpha \) whose rational approximations satisfy certain hypotheses; thus, one can derive variants of (3), (4) and (5) for specific values of \( \alpha \) (or over some interesting classes of \( \alpha \), such as the class of algebraic numbers).

1.3 Notation

Throughout the paper, implied constants in the symbols \( O, \ll \) and \( \gg \) may depend (where obvious) on the parameters \( \alpha, \varepsilon \) but are absolute otherwise. We recall that the notations \( U = O(V) \), \( U \ll V \), and \( V \gg U \) are all
equivalent to the assertion that the inequality $|U| \leq cV$ holds with some constant $c > 0$.

We also use $\|t\|$ to denote the distance from $t \in \mathbb{R}$ to the nearest integer.
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### 2 Main Result

#### 2.1 Formulation

We denote
\[
\Delta_\alpha(f, x) = \left| S_\alpha(f, x) - \alpha^{-1} S(f, x) \right|
\] (6)
and
\[
M(f, x) = 1 + \max\{|f(n)| : n \leq x\}.
\]

**Theorem 1.** For fixed $\epsilon > 0$ and almost all real numbers $\alpha > 1$, the following bound holds:
\[
\Delta_\alpha(f, x) \ll x^{2/3+\epsilon} M(f, x).
\]

#### 2.2 Preparations

We follow the arguments of [1]. For any real number $x \geq 1$, let $\psi_x$ be the trigonometric polynomial of Vaaler [13] given by
\[
\psi_x(t) = \sum_{1 \leq |m| \leq x^{1/2}} a_x(m) e^{2\pi i m t} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}),
\]
where for each integer $m$ in the sum we put
\[
a_x(m) = -\frac{\pi m_x (1 - |m_x|) \cot(\pi m_x) + |m_x|}{2\pi i m} \quad \text{with} \quad m_x = \frac{m}{x^{1/2} + 1}. \quad (7)
\]

As in [1, Section 3] we note that the inequality
\[
|u(1 - u) \cot(\pi u)| \leq 1 \quad (0 \leq u \leq 1)
\]
immediately implies the uniform bound
\[ a_x(m) \ll \frac{1}{|m|} \quad (1 \leq |m| \leq x^{1/2}). \] (8)

The function \( \psi_x \) is an exceptionally good approximation to the “sawtooth” function \( \psi(t) = \{t\} - 1/2 \), where \( \{t\} \) denotes the fractional part of \( t \in \mathbb{R} \). Indeed, by [1, Corollary 2.9] we have
\[ |\psi(t) - \psi_x(t)| \leq \frac{\csc^2(\pi t)}{2(x^{1/2} + 1)^2} \ll \frac{\csc^2(\pi t)}{x}. \] (9)

To prove the theorem, we can clearly assume that \( \alpha > 1 \) is irrational. In this case, one sees that a natural number \( n \) is a term in the Beatty sequence \( B_\alpha \) (that is, \( n = \lceil \alpha k \rceil \) for some \( k \in \mathbb{N} \)) if and only if \( \alpha^{-1}n \) lies in the set
\[ \{ t \in \mathbb{R} : 1 - \alpha^{-1} \leq \{t\} < 1 \}. \]

As the characteristic function \( \xi_\alpha \) of that set satisfies the relation
\[ \xi_\alpha(t) = \alpha^{-1} + \psi(t) - \psi(t + \alpha^{-1}) \]
for every \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), it follows that
\[ \sum_{n \leq x, n \in B_\alpha} f(n) = \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) \xi_\alpha(\alpha^{-1}n) = \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) \left( \alpha^{-1} + \psi(\alpha^{-1}n) - \psi(\alpha^{-1}(n + 1)) \right). \]

Taking into account the definitions (1), (2) and (6), we see that
\[ \Delta_\alpha(f, x) \leq |Q_\alpha(f, x)| + \sum_{n \leq x} |f(n)| R_\alpha(n, x), \] (10)
where
\[ Q_\alpha(f, x) = \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) \left( \psi_x(\alpha^{-1}n) - \psi_x(\alpha^{-1}(n + 1)) \right), \]
and
\[ R_\alpha(n, x) = |\psi(\alpha^{-1}n) - \psi_x(\alpha^{-1}n)| + |\psi(\alpha^{-1}(n + 1)) - \psi_x(\alpha^{-1}(n + 1))|. \]
2.3 Growth of the function $Q_\alpha(f, x)$

We need the following estimate on the finite differences of the function $Q_\alpha(f, x)$ which could be of independent interest.

**Lemma 1.** For a fixed irrational $\alpha > 1$ we have

$$Q_\alpha(f, y) - Q_\alpha(f, x) \ll (y - x) M(f, y) \quad (1 \leq x \leq y \leq 2x).$$

**Proof.** For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\psi_y(t) - \psi_x(t) = S_1 + S_2,$$

where

$$S_1 = \sum_{1 \leq |m| \leq x^{1/2}} (a_y(m) - a_x(m)) e^{2\pi i m t} \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \sum_{x^{1/2} < |m| \leq y^{1/2}} a_y(m) e^{2\pi i m t}.$$

In view of (8) the latter sum is bounded by

$$S_2 \ll \sum_{x^{1/2} < |m| \leq y^{1/2}} \frac{1}{|m|} \ll \frac{y^{1/2} - x^{1/2}}{x^{1/2}} \ll \frac{y - x}{x}.$$

To bound $S_1$, we put

$$F(u) = \pi u (1 - |u|) \cot(\pi u) + |u|,$$

so that $a_x(m) = -F(m_x)/(2\pi i m)$ in the notation of (7). If $1 \leq |m| \leq x^{1/2}$ then

$$m_y - m_x = \frac{m(x^{1/2} - y^{1/2})}{(x^{1/2} + 1)(y^{1/2} + 1)} \ll \frac{|m|(y - x)}{x^{3/2}},$$

and since $F$ is continuous and piecewise-differentiable on the interval $(-1, 1)$ it follows that

$$a_y(m) - a_x(m) = -\frac{F(m_y) - F(m_x)}{2\pi i m} \ll \frac{y - x}{x^{3/2}}.$$

Therefore,

$$S_1 \leq \sum_{1 \leq |m| \leq x^{1/2}} |a_y(m) - a_x(m)| \ll \frac{y - x}{x}.$$
Thus, we have established the uniform bound
\[
\psi_y(t) - \psi_x(t) \ll \frac{y - x}{x} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}, \ 1 \leq x \leq y \leq 2x).
\] (11)

Now write
\[
Q_{\alpha} (f, y) - Q_{\alpha} (f, x) = \tilde{S}_1 + \tilde{S}_2 + \tilde{S}_3,
\]
where
\[
\tilde{S}_1 = \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) \left( \psi_y(\alpha^{-1} n) - \psi_x(\alpha^{-1} n) \right),
\]
\[
\tilde{S}_2 = - \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) \left( \psi_y(\alpha^{-1} (n+1)) - \psi_x(\alpha^{-1} (n+1)) \right),
\]
\[
\tilde{S}_3 = \sum_{x < n \leq y} f(n) \left( \psi_y(\alpha^{-1} n) - \psi_y(\alpha^{-1} (n+1)) \right).
\]

Using (11) we see that
\[
\tilde{S}_j \ll (y - x) M(f, x) \quad (j = 1, 2),
\]
and clearly,
\[
\tilde{S}_3 \ll (y - x) M(f, y).
\]

This completes the proof.

2.4 Concluding the proof

Now put \( \lambda = \alpha^{-1} \) and expand \( Q_{\alpha} (f, x) \) as a Fourier series in \( \lambda \):
\[
Q_{\lambda^{-1}} (f, x) = \sum_{n \leq x} f(n) \sum_{1 \leq |m| \leq x^{1/2}} a_x(m) \left( e^{2\pi i mn \lambda} - e^{2\pi i (n+1)m \lambda} \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{n \leq x+1} g(n) \sum_{1 \leq |m| \leq x^{1/2}} a_x(m) e^{2\pi i mn \lambda}
\]
\[
= \sum_{1 \leq |k| \leq (x+1)x^{1/2}} e^{2\pi ik \lambda} \sum_{n \leq x+1 \atop |m| \leq x^{1/2} \atop nm = k} g(n) a_x(m),
\]
where
\[
g(n) = \begin{cases} 
  f(n) & \text{if } n = 1; \\
  f(n) - f(n - 1) & \text{if } 2 \leq n \leq x; \\
  -f(n - 1) & \text{if } x < n \leq x + 1.
\end{cases}
\]
By the Parseval identity we have

$$\int_0^1 |Q_{\lambda^{-1}}(f, x)|^2 d\lambda = \sum_{1 \leq |k| \leq (x+1)x^{1/2}} \left| \sum_{n \leq x+1 \atop |m| \leq x^{1/2}} g(n) a_x(m) \right|^2. \quad (12)$$

The inner sum on the right of (12) is bounded above by

$$\sum_{n \leq x+1 \atop |m| \leq x^{1/2}} a_x(m) \ll M(f, x) \sum_{|k|/(x+1) \leq |m| \leq x^{1/2}} \frac{1}{|m|} \ll M(f, x) \tau(|k|) \min \left\{ 1, \frac{x}{|k|} \right\}.$$ 

Thus, the integral on the left of (12) is bounded by

$$\int_0^1 |Q_{\lambda^{-1}}(f, x)|^2 d\lambda \ll \left( \sum_{k \leq x} \tau(k)^2 + x^2 \sum_{x < k \leq (x+1)x^{1/2}} \frac{\tau(k)^2}{k^2} \right) M(f, x)^2 \ll x(\log x)^3 M(f, x)^2,$$

where we have used the bound (see [12, Chapter 1, Theorem 5.4]):

$$\sum_{k \leq x} \tau(k)^2 \ll x(\log x)^3$$

together with partial summation (for the second sum).

Now put

$$\Theta = \frac{3}{1+3\varepsilon},$$

and observe that the preceding bound implies

$$\int_0^1 |Q_{\lambda^{-1}}(f, N^\Theta)|^2 d\lambda \ll N^{\Theta}(\log N)^3 M(f, N^\Theta)^2 \quad (N \geq 1).$$

Then, since

$$\sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \frac{|Q_{\lambda^{-1}}(f, N^\Theta)|^2}{N^{\Theta+1}(\log N)^6 M(f, N^\Theta)^2} d\lambda \ll \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N(\log N)^3} < \infty,$$
it follows that the integral
\[
\int_0^1 \left( \sum_{N=1}^\infty \frac{|Q_{\lambda^{-1}}(f, N^\theta)|^2}{N^{\theta+1} (\log N)^6 M(f, N^\theta)^2} \right) d\lambda
\]
converges. This implies that the series
\[
\sum_{N=1}^\infty \frac{|Q_{\alpha}(f, N^\theta)|^2}{N^{\theta+1} (\log N)^6 M(f, N^\theta)^2}
\]
converges for almost all \( \alpha > 1 \). Let \( \alpha \) be fixed with that property, and note that
\[
Q_{\alpha}(f, N^\theta) \ll N^{(\theta+1)/2} (\log N)^3 M(f, N^\theta) \quad (N \geq 1).
\]
For any given real number \( x \geq 1 \), let \( N \) be the unique integer for which \( N^\theta \leq x < (N + 1)^\theta \). Then,
\[
Q_{\alpha}(f, N^\theta) \ll x^{(\theta+1)/2} (\log x)^3 M(f, x) = x^{2/3+\epsilon/2} (\log x)^3 M(f, x) \ll x^{2/3+\epsilon} M(f, x).
\]
By Lemma 1 we also see that
\[
Q_{\alpha}(f, x) - Q_{\alpha}(f, N^\theta) \ll ((N + 1)^\theta - N^\theta) M(f, x) \\
\ll N^{\theta-1} M(f, x) \\
\ll x^{(\theta-1)/\theta} M(f, x) = x^{2/3-\epsilon} M(f, x).
\]
Therefore,
\[
Q_{\alpha}(f, x) \ll x^{2/3+\epsilon} M(f, x) \quad (13)
\]
for almost all \( \alpha \).

To bound the sum in (10) we put
\[
L = \left\lfloor \frac{\log x}{2 \log 2} \right\rfloor,
\]
and for each \( j = 1, \ldots, L \) we denote by \( \mathcal{N}_j \) the set of natural numbers \( n \leq x \) for which
\[
2^{-j-1} < \min\{\|\alpha^{-1} n\|, \|\alpha^{-1} (n + 1)\|\} \leq 2^{-j}.
\]
We also denote by \( \mathcal{N}_* \) the set of natural numbers \( n \leq x \) such that
\[
\min\{\|\alpha^{-1} n\|, \|\alpha^{-1} (n + 1)\|\} \leq 2^{-(L+1)}.
\]
If \( n \in \mathcal{N}_j \), then (9) implies that

\[
R_{\alpha}(n, x) \ll \left( \csc^2(\pi \alpha^{-1} n) + \csc^2(\pi \alpha^{-1} (n + 1)) \right) x^{-1} \\
\ll \left( \|\alpha^{-1} n\|^{-2} + \|\alpha^{-1} (n + 1)\|^{-2} \right) x^{-1} \ll 2^{2j} x^{-1},
\]

and the bound \( |\psi(t) - \psi_x(t)| \leq 1 \), which follows from [1, Lemma 2.8] (which in turn follows from [13]), implies that \( R_{\alpha}(n, x) \ll 1 \) holds for all \( n \in \mathcal{N}_* \); therefore,

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} |f(n)| R_{\alpha}(n, x) \ll x^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{L} 2^{2j} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_j} |f(n)| + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_*} |f(n)| \\
\ll \left( x^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{L} 2^{2j} |\mathcal{N}_j| + |\mathcal{N}_*| \right) M(f, x).
\]

Using [1, Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 2.7] one sees that for almost all \( \alpha > 1 \) and uniformly for \( x \geq 1 \), the upper bounds

\[
|\mathcal{N}_j| \ll 2^{-j} x + (\log x)^3 \quad (j = 1, \ldots, L)
\]

and

\[
|\mathcal{N}_*| \ll 2^{-L} x + (\log x)^3
\]

hold. Since \( 2^L \asymp x^{1/2} \), it follows that

\[
\sum_{n \leq x} |f(n)| R_{\alpha}(n, x) \ll x^{1/2} M(f, x) \quad (14)
\]

for almost all \( \alpha > 1 \).

Combining (10), (13) and (14), we obtain the stated result.
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