

**Moore, Marsha M.**

---

**From:** Duncan, Robert V. (Vice Chancellor of Research)  
**Sent:** Friday, May 11, 2012 10:43 AM  
**To:** Robertson, J. David  
**Cc:** Smith, Gloria; Butler, Ralph  
**Subject:** RE: Missouri University - Columbia Visit

Thanks, Dave.  
Rob

\*\*\*\*\*

R. V. Duncan, Ph.D.  
Vice Chancellor for Research  
Professor of Physics  
University of Missouri  
[duncanrv@missouri.edu](mailto:duncanrv@missouri.edu)  
P: (573) 882-9500  
F: (573) 884-8371

---

**From:** Robertson, J. David  
**Sent:** Friday, May 11, 2012 10:15 AM  
**To:** Duncan, Robert V. (Vice Chancellor of Research)  
**Cc:** Smith, Gloria; Butler, Ralph  
**Subject:** RE: Missouri University - Columbia Visit

Rob,

Just to confirm – I have changed my travel so that I can participate as requested.

Dave Robertson

---

**From:** Duncan, Robert V. (Vice Chancellor of Research)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 09, 2012 6:21 PM  
**To:** Deaton, Brady (Chancellor)  
**Cc:** Foster, Brian L. (Provost); Smith, Gloria; Davis, Teresa L. (Provost Office); McGruder, Ann C.; Justice, George; Thompson, James E.; Butler, Ralph; OBrien, Michael J. (A&S Dean); Middleton, Michael; Loyalka, Sudarshan K.; Schwartz, Robert W.; Robertson, J. David; Prelas, Mark A.; Taub, Haskell; Pfeifer, Peter; Gahl, John M.; Solbrekken, Gary  
**Subject:** RE: Missouri University - Columbia Visit

All,

Please see our draft schedule below for the visit by Ameren and Westinghouse on the afternoon of Wednesday, June 6, 2012. This is an exceptionally important visit, and it is critical that we convey our excitement to participate in this effort, and our 'single team' approach. Ameren and Westinghouse have stressed that they want a comprehensive engagement of engineers in Civil, Nuclear, Mechanical, and Electrical Engineering, and that they want to know about the basic materials characterization research that we can bring to this collaboration. It is of paramount importance that we convey our 'One Mizzou' spirit at this event.

I will be able to attend, having adjusted my schedule accordingly. We will proceed with this as our draft schedule, but please do provide any feedback to me as we will finalize this plan in the near future, following feedback from Ameren

and Westinghouse officials tomorrow. We will be in touch soon to coordinate speaking points, and to collect slide decks for projection into a master deck, etc.

Thanks,

Rob

\*\*\*\*\*

R. V. Duncan, Ph.D.  
Vice Chancellor for Research  
Professor of Physics  
University of Missouri  
[duncanrv@missouri.edu](mailto:duncanrv@missouri.edu)  
P: (573) 882-9500  
F: (573) 884-8371

**Proposed Schedule, Westinghouse / Ameren Visit, June 6, 2012**

- 12:00 – 1:15 PM**            **Welcome and Working Lunch**  
Deputy Chancellor Mike Middleton, Welcome  
CTO and SVP for Research Kate Jackson, Westinghouse Perspective  
Comments from the ranking Ameren Official  
Vice Chancellor for Research Rob Duncan, Schedule for the day, and MU's Technical Depth to support SMR
- 1:30 – 2:50 PM**            **MU's Collaborative Capabilities**  
Session Chair : Dean Michael O'Brien, College of Arts and Science
- 1:30 – 2:00 PM Summary of Engineering at MU  
James Thompson, Dean of Engineering  
John Gahl, C.W. LaPierre Professor of Electrical Engineering  
Gary Solbrekken, Associate Professor of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering
- 2:00 – 2:20 PM Aerosol, Particulates, and Safety for SMR Design  
Sudarshan Loyalka, Curators' Professor of Nuclear Engineering  
Nuclear Science and Engineering Institute
- 2:20 – 2:35 PM Overview of Radiochemistry capabilities at MU  
Dave Robertson, Professor of Chemistry and Associate Director, MU Research Reactor (MURR)
- 2:35 – 2:50 PM Neutron Scattering and Materials Characterization  
Haskell Taub, Professor of Physics
- 3:00 – 4:00 PM**            **Team Presentation by Westinghouse and Ameren, followed by Q/A**
- 4:30 – 5:30 PM**            **Tour of the MU Research Reactor (MURR) and associated programs and capabilities**  
Ralph Butler, Director fo MURR
- 5:30 – 6:00 PM**            **Closing Comments, and Adjourn**  
Rob Duncan, Vice Chancellor for Research

Others

## Moore, Marsha M.

---

**From:** AAUP-MU <AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU> on behalf of Robinson, David <d robinso@TRUMAN.EDU>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 11, 2012 10:54 AM  
**To:** AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU  
**Subject:** Re: the importance of history

Eddy and Gordon are my heroes too, even before I knew about the 1996 scandal.

We are lucky to have them around, if only to remind us how much PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY we each have, as professionals.

And, what's more, they are delightful personalities, fun to be with!

--David K. Robinson (Truman State University) President, Missouri Conference of AAUP

-----Original Message-----

**From:** AAUP-MU [mailto:AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU] On Behalf Of Piper, Karen L.  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 10, 2012 3:56 PM  
**To:** AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU  
**Subject:** the importance of history

For those who don't know, here's info on the Kaiser affair that Sudarshan mentioned. The doctors who tried to expose these alleged VA hospital murders (dozens of them) were hushed up or demoted and the "serial killer" went on to allegedly kill more at another hospital in Ashland. I can't imagine how horrifying that experience must have been for the faculty involved. (They are my heroes.) Though it was a VA hospital issue, the consequences bled over to their appointments at MU. And yes, some of these MU faculty are in AAUP and in my opinion have every right to be disillusioned! I became disillusioned just reading about this case when I first moved here in 1996.

[https://docs.google.com/viewer?a=v&q=cache:zSXvXsPpiwMJ:www.peerreview.org/twedt/acrobat/3b.pdf+&hl=en&gl=us&pid=bl&srcid=ADGEEsJJJaB-yx4yAOE5dK706UTpxx-Q1Nm7hgXB9TQhamfC7nJOQRg8NPJCgwAb7FSPwLg-57ADbG0ZdMEZpSP5EQZlgcVf1p21M4h wfAW4MT0RkrpY6Bir2HruMJVh9ib8vOL1fVgA&sig=AHIEtbQ7VdbcKRKW2UTqPDgWm0a4hiY\\_u g](https://docs.google.com/viewer?a=v&q=cache:zSXvXsPpiwMJ:www.peerreview.org/twedt/acrobat/3b.pdf+&hl=en&gl=us&pid=bl&srcid=ADGEEsJJJaB-yx4yAOE5dK706UTpxx-Q1Nm7hgXB9TQhamfC7nJOQRg8NPJCgwAb7FSPwLg-57ADbG0ZdMEZpSP5EQZlgcVf1p21M4h wfAW4MT0RkrpY6Bir2HruMJVh9ib8vOL1fVgA&sig=AHIEtbQ7VdbcKRKW2UTqPDgWm0a4hiY_u g)

Another story I was told when I first moved here was about the professors who were fired or demoted for participating in protests with their students during the Vietnam War. Yes, this also happened to faculty at other Universities (e.g. Howard Zinn) but what is unique about us is that we still have these Vietnam War regulations in our CRR! Should our "Employee Conduct" manual still be dated 1968? At the time, I really wondered what decade I had just moved into. Check it out:

[http://www.umsystem.edu/ums/rules/collected\\_rules/personnel/ch330/330.040\\_professional\\_responsibilities\\_protest\\_and\\_political\\_activities](http://www.umsystem.edu/ums/rules/collected_rules/personnel/ch330/330.040_professional_responsibilities_protest_and_political_activities)

<http://www.columbiamissourian.com/media/quad/protest.html>

I'd be interested to know what the upper admin or board of curators position is on these events now. I think of fear as a rather normal reaction given the atmosphere created by these events. Karen

On 5/7/12 1:56 PM, "Loyalka, Sudarshan K." <LoyalkaS@MISSOURI.EDU> wrote:

>I believe, both fear and apathy play a role. There are also other  
>reasons.

>

>There is little question that those who speak out pay a price, and  
>sometimes this price is paid not only by those who speak out but by  
>their associates also (faculty, students, staff, alumni). This  
>certainly has a chilling effect, and we see the ramifications of it in  
>shared governance.

>

> I had spoken out during the Kiesler affair in 1996, and a senior  
>member of the Board of Curators assured me soon afterwards and on his  
>own volition (he had liked my position, but understood that I was  
>exposed) that my status could not be changed by the MU administration  
>as I was a Curators' Professor. The Tribune article has referred to  
>the applicable CRRs.

>

>Sudarshan

>

>

>

>

>From: AAUP-MU [AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU] on behalf of Rubin, Leona J.

>Sent: Monday, May 07, 2012 1:16 PM

>To: AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU

>Subject: Re: RECOMMENDATION: University of Missouri's nuclear program

>to close due to lack of funding, infighting

>

>I have another view in this and not sure it is better. I don't think  
>lack of faculty involvement is fear but rather large scale apathy. This

>apathy in faculty governance starts at the first day of hire when the  
>chair says "don't get involved in committees etc because it may impact  
>your tenure".

>This message does not change much as you progress through the ranks.

>

>Sent from my iPhone

>

>On May 7, 2012, at 12:55 PM, "Edward Adelstein"

><eddieadelstein@GMAIL.COM> wrote:

>  
>> Galen, the potential power of the faculty is rarely used. It seems  
>> that the majority of faculty fears administration and harkens to the  
>> words of "Don't get involved". The examples made by the faculty who  
>> challenged to system serves as a deterrent to speaking out.  
>> Apparently the human species is easy to frighten and the faculty to  
no exception.  
>> Fortunately there are some that speak out and while large battles are  
  
>> not often won, the sum of those who seek truth and fairness actually  
>> make a difference. eddie adelstein  
>>  
>> On 5/7/12, Engel, Thomas G. <EngelT@missouri.edu> wrote:  
>>> "For Faculty Authority to be truly faculty authority, it must not be  
  
>>>by an administration-appointed group of faculty. AND, it must not  
>>>ONLY be exercised at the request of an administrator--you do not  
>>>need a directive from the Provost or Chancellor to exercise Faculty  
>>>Authority or input."  
>>>  
>>> Well said, Galen.  
>>>  
>>>  
>>> -----Original Message-----  
>>> From: AAUP-MU [mailto:AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU] On Behalf Of Suppes,  
>>>Galen  
>>> Sent: Monday, May 07, 2012 7:58 AM  
>>> To: AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU  
>>> Subject: RECOMMENDATION: University of Missouri's nuclear program to  
  
>>>close due to lack of funding, infighting  
>>>  
>>> From what I can tell, there has been no Faculty Authority exercised  
>>>on this topic. I believe that both "Faculty Authority" and "Faculty  
  
>>>Joint Authority" apply. I do not believe that "Faculty Advisory  
>>>Authority"  
>>> applies.  
>>>  
>>> STOP BLAMING THE ADMINISTRATION, AND START BLAMING THE FACULTY.  
>>>Here is why.  
>>>  
>>> For Faculty Authority to be truly faculty authority, it must not be  
>>>by an administration-appointed group of faculty. AND, it must not  
>>>ONLY be exercised at the request of an administrator--you do not  
>>>need a directive from the Provost or Chancellor to exercise Faculty  
>>>Authority or input.  
>>>  
>>> Here is the suggestion, have the FC appoint a committee to make a  
>>>recommendation to the FC on how to handle the Nuclear Engineering  
>>>program.  
>>> Make a recommendation to the FC. Then have the FC make a vote.

>>>Finally,  
>>> but not "leastly", the Faculty needs to inform the Chancellor about  
>>>those areas of the topic that are "Faculty Authority", "Faculty  
>>>Joint Authority", and "Faculty Advisory Authority"--and then inform  
>>>the Chancellor of the  
>>> respective decisions and recommendations. ---DO NOT WHINE AND  
>>>COMPLAIN,  
>>> INFORM!!!!

>>>  
>>> If this proceeds any further (either on the topic of ending the  
>>>Nuclear Engineering Program or on the topic of Bad Press) IT IS THE  
>>>FAULT OF THE FACULTY.

>>>  
>>> Please, if my logic is incorrect, identify how it is incorrect. If  
>>>the logic is correct, then either exercise your authority or crawl  
>>>back into your hole like a coward undeserving of the freedom and  
>>>rights we have in this great county!

>>>  
>>>  
>>> Galen J. Suppes  
>>> Professor, Chemical Engineering  
>>> University of Missouri-Columbia  
>>> Phone: 573 884 0562  
>>> Web: <http://web.missouri.edu/~suppesg/suppes.html>

>>>  
>>> CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This transmission and any documents  
>>>accompanying same may contain confidential information which is  
>>>legally privileged.

>>> The  
>>> information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity

>>>named above. If you are not the intended recipient, or the person  
>>>responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are  
>>>hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of

>>>any of the information contained in this transmission is strictly  
>>>PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please

>>>immediately notify me by telephone at 573-884-0562. Thank you.

>>>  
>>>

>>> \_\_\_\_\_  
>>> From: AAUP-MU  
>>>[AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU<mailto:AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU>] on behalf  
>>>of Glaser, Rainer E.

>>> Sent: Sunday, May 06, 2012 6:51 PM  
>>> To: AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU<mailto:AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU>  
>>> Subject: Re: University of Missouri's nuclear program to close due

to  
>>>lack  
>>> of funding, infighting

>>>

>>> I do not understand the title. It seemed that the decision to close  
>>>the program made no sense because the program has been going strong,  
>>>including high funding.  
>>> \_\_\_\_\_  
>>> From: AAUP-MU  
>>>[AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU<mailto:AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU>] on behalf  
>>>of Montgomery-Smith, Stephen  
>>> Sent: Sunday, May 06, 2012 1:34 PM  
>>> To: AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU<mailto:AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU>  
>>> Subject: University of Missouri's nuclear program to close due to  
lack  
>>>of  
>>> funding, infighting  
>>>  
>>> University of Missouri's nuclear program to close due to lack of  
>>>funding, infighting  
>>>  
>>>  
>>>[http://www.columbiatribune.com/news/2012/may/06/university-missouris-  
nuc  
>>>lear-program-close-due-lac/  
>>>](http://www.columbiatribune.com/news/2012/may/06/university-missouris-nuc-lear-program-close-due-lac/)

**Moore, Marsha M.**

---

**From:** AAUP-MU <AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU> on behalf of Montgomery-Smith, Stephen  
**Sent:** Friday, May 11, 2012 11:04 AM  
**To:** AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU  
**Subject:** Re: Meeting of AAUP-MU

Reminder:

On 05/07/12 12:10, Stephen Montgomery-Smith wrote:  
> On 05/07/2012 07:33 AM, Stephen Montgomery-Smith wrote:  
>> We will meet at 10am Saturday May 12. Place and agenda to be announced.  
>>  
>>  
>  
> We will meet in Memorial Union S302, Saturday May 12, 10am-noon.  
>  
> The agenda will probably include discussion about current NSEI issues,  
> and an update about EE issues.  
>  
>

**Moore, Marsha M.**

---

**From:** Justice, George  
**Sent:** Friday, May 11, 2012 2:14 PM  
**To:** Dean, Kenneth D.  
**Subject:** Fwd: the importance of history

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Robinson, David" <[drobinso@TRUMAN.EDU](mailto:drobinso@TRUMAN.EDU)>  
**Subject:** **Re: the importance of history**  
**Date:** May 11, 2012 10:54:14 AM CDT  
**To:** <[AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU](mailto:AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU)>  
**Reply-To:** AAUP-MU <[AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU](mailto:AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU)>

Eddy and Gordon are my heroes too, even before I knew about the 1996 scandal.

We are lucky to have them around, if only to remind us how much PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY we each have, as professionals.

And, what's more, they are delightful personalities, fun to be with!

--David K. Robinson (Truman State University)  
President, Missouri Conference of AAUP

-----Original Message-----

From: AAUP-MU [mailto:[AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU](mailto:AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU)] On Behalf Of Piper, Karen L.  
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2012 3:56 PM  
To: [AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU](mailto:AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU)  
Subject: the importance of history

For those who don't know, here's info on the Kaiser affair that Sudarshan mentioned. The doctors who tried to expose these alleged VA hospital murders (dozens of them) were hushed up or demoted and the "serial killer" went on to allegedly kill more at another hospital in Ashland. I can't imagine how horrifying that experience must have been for the faculty involved. (They are my heroes.) Though it was a VA hospital issue, the consequences bled over to their appointments at MU. And yes, some of these MU faculty are in AAUP and in my opinion have every right to be disillusioned! I became disillusioned just reading about this case when I first moved here in 1996.

[https://docs.google.com/viewer?a=v&q=cache:zSXvXsPpiwMJ:www.peerreview.org/twedt/acrobat/3b.pdf+&hl=en&gl=us&pid=bl&srcid=ADGEESjJJaB-yx4yAOE5dK706UTpxx-Q1Nm7hgXB9TQhamfC7nJOQRg8NPJCgwAb7FSPwLg-57ADbG0ZdMEZpSP5EQZIgcVf1p21M4hwfAW4MT0RkrpY6Bir2HruMJVh9ib8vOL1fVgA&sig=AHIEtbQ7VdbcKRKW2UTqPDgWm0a4hiY\\_u](https://docs.google.com/viewer?a=v&q=cache:zSXvXsPpiwMJ:www.peerreview.org/twedt/acrobat/3b.pdf+&hl=en&gl=us&pid=bl&srcid=ADGEESjJJaB-yx4yAOE5dK706UTpxx-Q1Nm7hgXB9TQhamfC7nJOQRg8NPJCgwAb7FSPwLg-57ADbG0ZdMEZpSP5EQZIgcVf1p21M4hwfAW4MT0RkrpY6Bir2HruMJVh9ib8vOL1fVgA&sig=AHIEtbQ7VdbcKRKW2UTqPDgWm0a4hiY_u)  
g

Another story I was told when I first moved here was about the professors who were fired or demoted for participating in protests with their students during the Vietnam War. Yes, this also happened to faculty at other Universities (e.g. Howard Zinn) but what is unique about us is that we still have these Vietnam War regulations in our CRR! Should our "Employee Conduct" manual still be dated 1968? At the time, I really wondered what decade I had just moved into. Check it out:

[http://www.umsystem.edu/ums/rules/collected\\_rules/personnel/ch330/330.040\\_professional\\_responsibilities\\_protest\\_and\\_political\\_activities](http://www.umsystem.edu/ums/rules/collected_rules/personnel/ch330/330.040_professional_responsibilities_protest_and_political_activities)

<http://www.columbiamissourian.com/media/quad/protest.html>

I'd be interested to know what the upper admin or board of curators position is on these events now. I think of fear as a rather normal reaction given the atmosphere created by these events. Karen

On 5/7/12 1:56 PM, "Loyalka, Sudarshan K." <LoyalkaS@MISSOURI.EDU> wrote:

I believe, both fear and apathy play a role. There are also other reasons.

There is little question that those who speak out pay a price, and sometimes this price is paid not only by those who speak out but by their associates also (faculty, students, staff, alumni). This certainly has a chilling effect, and we see the ramifications of it in shared governance.

I had spoken out during the Kiesler affair in 1996, and a senior

member of the Board of Curators assured me soon afterwards and on his own volition (he had liked my position, but understood that I was exposed) that my status could not be changed by the MU administration as I was a Curators' Professor. The Tribune article has referred to the applicable CRRs.

Sudarshan

---

From: AAUP-MU [AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU] on behalf of Rubin, Leona J.  
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2012 1:16 PM  
To: AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU  
Subject: Re: RECOMMENDATION: University of Missouri's nuclear program to close due to lack of funding, infighting

I have another view in this and not sure it is better. I don't think lack of faculty involvement is fear but rather large scale apathy. This

apathy in faculty governance starts at the first day of hire when the chair says "don't get involved in committees etc because it may impact your tenure".

This message does not change much as you progress through the ranks.

Sent from my iPhone

On May 7, 2012, at 12:55 PM, "Edward Adelstein"  
<eddieadelstein@GMAIL.COM> wrote:

Galen, the potential power of the faculty is rarely used. It seems that the majority of faculty fears administration and harkens to the words of "Don't get involved". The examples made by the faculty who challenged to system serves as a deterrent to speaking out.

Apparently the human species is easy to frighten and the faculty to no exception.

Fortunately there are some that speak out and while large battles are

not often won, the sum of those who seek truth and fairness actually  
make a difference. eddie adelstein

On 5/7/12, Engel, Thomas G. <EngelT@missouri.edu> wrote:

"For Faculty Authority to be truly faculty authority, it must not be

by an administration-appointed group of faculty. AND, it must not  
ONLY be exercised at the request of an administrator--you do not  
need a directive from the Provost or Chancellor to exercise  
Faculty  
Authority or input."

Well said, Galen.

-----Original Message-----

From: AAUP-MU [mailto:AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU] On  
Behalf Of Suppes,

Galen

Sent: Monday, May 07, 2012 7:58 AM

To: AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU

Subject: RECOMMENDATION: University of Missouri's nuclear  
program to

close due to lack of funding, infighting

From what I can tell, there has been no Faculty Authority exercised  
on this topic. I believe that both "Faculty Authority" and "Faculty

Joint Authority" apply. I do not believe that "Faculty Advisory  
Authority"  
applies.

STOP BLAMING THE ADMINISTRATION, AND START  
BLAMING THE FACULTY.

Here is why.

For Faculty Authority to be truly faculty authority, it must not be  
by an administration-appointed group of faculty. AND, it must  
not

ONLY be exercised at the request of an administrator--you do not  
need a directive from the Provost or Chancellor to exercise  
Faculty

Authority or input.

Here is the suggestion, have the FC appoint a committee to make  
a

recommendation to the FC on how to handle the Nuclear  
Engineering  
program.

Make a recommendation to the FC. Then have the FC make a  
vote.

Finally,

but not "leastly", the Faculty needs to inform the Chancellor about  
those areas of the topic that are "Faculty Authority", "Faculty  
Joint Authority", and "Faculty Advisory Authority"--and then  
inform

the Chancellor of the

respective decisions and recommendations. ---DO NOT WHINE  
AND

COMPLAIN,

INFORM!!!!

If this proceeds any further (either on the topic of ending the  
Nuclear Engineering Program or on the topic of Bad Press) IT IS  
THE

FAULT OF THE FACULTY.

Please, if my logic is incorrect, identify how it is incorrect. If  
the logic is correct, then either exercise your authority or crawl  
back into your hole like a coward undeserving of the freedom and  
rights we have in this great county!

Galen J. Suppes  
Professor, Chemical Engineering  
University of Missouri-Columbia  
Phone: 573 884 0562  
Web: <http://web.missouri.edu/~suppesg/suppes.html>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This transmission and any documents accompanying same may contain confidential information which is legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity

named above. If you are not the intended recipient, or the person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of

any of the information contained in this transmission is strictly PROHIBITED. If you have received this transmission in error, please

immediately notify me by telephone at 573-884-0562. Thank you.

---

From: AAUP-MU  
[AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU<mailto:AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU>] on behalf of Glaser, Rainer E.  
Sent: Sunday, May 06, 2012 6:51 PM  
To: AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU<mailto:AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU>  
Subject: Re: University of Missouri's nuclear program to close due

to

lack

of funding, infighting

I do not understand the title. It seemed that the decision to close the

program made no sense because the program has been going strong,

including

high funding.

---

From: AAUP-MU

[AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU<mailto:AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU>] on

behalf of Montgomery-Smith, Stephen

Sent: Sunday, May 06, 2012 1:34 PM

To: AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU<mailto:AAUP-MU@PO.MISSOURI.EDU>

Subject: University of Missouri's nuclear program to close due to

lack

of

funding, infighting

University of Missouri's nuclear program to close due to lack of funding,

infighting

<http://www.columbiatribune.com/news/2012/may/06/university-missouris->

nuc

lear-program-close-due-lac/

## Moore, Marsha M.

---

**From:** Deaton, Brady (Chancellor)  
**Sent:** Friday, May 11, 2012 5:21 PM  
**To:** Foster, Brian L. (Provost); Justice, George  
**Cc:** Koukola, Chris; Middleton, Michael  
**Subject:** FW: Nuclear Source Term

Just to insure we all know what is being communicated. We need to honor the good work of our faculty. This contribution by Sudarshan is surely noteworthy. No doubt they will make a contribution to the future work of Ameren/Westinghouse if those SMR plans develop.  
Have a good weekend.

---

**From:** Deaton, Brady (Chancellor)  
**Sent:** Friday, May 11, 2012 5:12 PM  
**To:** Loyalka, Sudarshan K.  
**Cc:** McGruder, Ann C.  
**Subject:** RE: Nuclear Source Term

Sudarshan,

Thanks very much for sharing this document, and congratulations on being asked to participate in such a critical peer review team process! We are proud of the stature you have achieved that led to this participation. Like you, I assume that the proposed work of Ameren/Westinghouse in the SMR development would require something similar.

At the initial news conference held by the Governor in Jeff City, the Ameren/Westinghouse leadership present indicated that they wanted MU's involvement up front in the process. I viewed that as good news for us, and a tribute to your work. You and your colleagues and students in NSEI will undoubtedly be involved in those next steps. We will be strong advocates for your involvement.

Again, thanks for sharing your report and your thoughts.

Best wishes as we enter the summer season.

Brady

: Wednesday, May 09, 2012 10:00 PM  
**To:** Deaton, Brady (Chancellor)  
**Cc:** McGruder, Ann C.  
**Subject:** Nuclear Source Term  
**Importance:** High

Dear Brady

I have attached copy of a recent report from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission on what is known as the Nuclear Source Term. I was among the six peer reviewers who participated in this in 2011 (we had one participant each from Japan and France).

Undoubtedly, these types of studies/simulations would be required for Ameren/Westinghouse AP-250 (SMR) design and licensing. Our students and my colleagues in the NSEI and I look forward to contributing to the efforts in any way we can.

Sudarshan

Sudarshan K. Loyalka  
Curators' Professor of Nuclear Engineering, NSEI, MU